CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2012 First Round July 11, 2012

Project Number CA-12-052

Project Name Valley Glen Apartments

Site Address: SW Corner of West Cherry Street and South Jefferson Street

Dixon, CA 95620 County: Solano

Census Tract: 2534.020

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total

 Requested:
 \$853,884
 \$3,415,535

 Recommended:
 \$853,884
 \$3,415,535

Applicant Information

Applicant: Dixon Pacific Associates, a California Limited Partnership

Contact: Caleb Roope

Address: 430 E. State Street, Suite 100

Eagle, ID 83616

Phone: 208.461.0022 Fax: 208.461.3267

Email: calebr@tpchousing.com

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): TPC Holdings V, LLC

Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Developer: Pacific West Communities, Inc.

Investor/Consultant: Boston Capital

Management Agent: Cambridge Real Estate Services, Inc.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 10 Total # of Units: 59

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 58 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME / USDA RHS 514 & 521 (58 units - 100%)

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 6 10 % 45% AMI: 9 15 % 50% AMI (Rural): 43 50 %

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: N/A
TCAC Project Analyst: Nicola Hil

Unit Mix

9 1-Bedroom Units

27 2-Bedroom Units

23 3-Bedroom Units

59 Total Units

Unit	Type & Number	2012 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2012 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
1	1 Bedroom	45%	45%	\$697
8	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$775
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$558
4	2 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$837
20	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$930
3	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$644
4	3 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$966
15	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,074
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$16,738,563 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$156

Per Unit Cost:

Permanent Financing

TOTAL

\$283,704

\$16,738,563

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Boston Capital Finance - Const Loan	\$10,352,701	Boston Capital Finance- Perm Loan	\$1,600,000
City of Dixon - HOME Loan	\$3,000,000	USDA 514 Loan	\$2,000,000
Deferred Costs	\$205,049	City of Dixon - HOME Loan	\$3,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,200,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$234,500
Boston Capital - LIHTC Equity	\$1,980,813	Tax Credit Equity	\$9,904,063

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$11,385,118
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$11,385,118
Applicable Rate:	7.50%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$853,884
Total State Credit:	\$3,415,535
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$1,200,000
Investor/Consultant:	Boston Capital
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.90000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.64971

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$11,385,118 Actual Eligible Basis: \$15,085,118 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$16,205,290 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$21,409,845

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages Local Development Impact Fees

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family Second: 48.000%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.50% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.20%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information:

Staff noted that the preliminary architectural drawings did not identify the required amount of washer/dryer required by regulation. Adequate laundry facilities must be available on project premises, with no fewer than one washer/dryer per 10 units upon completion of the project prior to the issuance of federal and state tax forms.

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Dixon, has completed a site review of this project and supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$853.884 State Tax Credits/Total \$3,415,535

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

Points System	Max. Possible Points	Requested Points	Points Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Coolit Doduction / Dublic Founds	20	20	20
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 500 ft of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of public library	3	3	3
Within 3 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	3	3	3
Large Family proj. w/i ½ mile of public school project children may attend	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 84 hrs/yr instruction	7	7	7
Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms	3	3	3
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Multifamil	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 17.5%	2	2	2
Develop project to requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Multifamily 100	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.